

**Dirac Symmetry Operators
From
Conformal Killing-Yano Tensors.**

**I. M. Benn¹
Philip Charlton²**

Mathematics Department,
Newcastle University,
NSW 2308,
Australia.

Abstract. We show how, for all dimensions and signatures, a symmetry operator for the massless Dirac equation can be constructed from a conformal Killing-Yano tensor of arbitrary degree.

¹ email:mmimb@cc.newcastle.edu.au

² email:philipc@maths.newcastle.edu.au

A symmetry operator of an equation maps any solution to another. On a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold isometries give rise to symmetry operators; the Lie derivative with respect to a Killing vector being a symmetry operator for differential equations constructed from the metric and the connection. For those equations having a conformal covariance the conformal Killing vectors also give symmetry operators. Killing's equation for isometry generators has been generalised to tensor equations. One generalisation is to a totally symmetric Killing tensor, whilst Yano's generalisation of Killing's equation applies to a totally antisymmetric tensor, or differential form [1]. The conformal generalisation of Yano's Killing tensor equation was given by Tachibana [2], for the case of a 2-form, and more generally by Kashiwada [3], [4].

Of direct physical interest is the case of a four-dimensional Lorentzian-signatured space-time, and it is in this situation that most of the applications of conformal Killing-Yano tensors have taken place. (A review of Killing-Yano tensors in relativity is given in [5].) In particular, Kamran and McLenaghan [6] have shown that a symmetry operator for the massless Dirac equation can be made from a conformal Killing-Yano tensor. In the more restrictive case of a Killing-Yano tensor this operator is a symmetry operator of the massive Dirac equation as was previously found by Carter and McLenaghan [7].

There are obviously restrictions on the degree of conformal Killing-Yano tensors possible in four dimensions. The 0-form and 4-form cases are trivial, with conformal Killing-Yano tensors being constant (parallel) in this case. The conformal Killing-Yano (CKY) equation for a 1-form is just the conformal Killing equation. Since the CKY equation is invariant under Hodge duality any CKY 3-form is just the dual of a conformal Killing vector. So in four dimensions the only non-trivial generalisation of a conformal Killing vector is to a 2-form. Because 2-forms are 'middle-forms' in four dimensions, they have properties not shared by a general CKY p -form. In particular the null self-dual middle-forms correspond to maximal isotropic planes. In the Lorentzian case these (complex) null foliations have an associated (real) null shear-free congruence. This distribution of isotropic planes can also be put into correspondence with a spinor field, and more generally a non-null CKY 2-form corresponds to a pair of spinor fields. A CKY 2-form corresponds to a 'tensor-spinor' known as a 'Killing spinor'. It was using this spinorial version of the equation that Torres del Castillo [8] independently found the symmetry operator of the massless Dirac equation that had been found by Kamran and McLenaghan [6]. CKY tensors can only exist on special manifolds. In the four-dimensional Lorentzian case integrability conditions for the CKY equation can be written in terms of the conformal tensor, and this restricts the Petrov type of the space-time. One cannot interpret the integrability conditions of the CKY equation in this way in the more general setting. Thus it is not immediately clear that the symmetry operator for the massless Dirac equation made from a CKY

2-form in four dimensions will generalise to the case of a p -form in n dimensions.

In this paper we will consider a CKY tensor of arbitrary degree on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of arbitrary dimension and signature. We will show how such a tensor gives a symmetry operator for the massless Dirac equation. This symmetry operator generalises that given in the four-dimensional case by Kamran and McLenaghan [6]. We follow the conventions and notation used in [9], and more generally [10].

The CKY equation can be written most compactly in terms of exterior operations. A p -form ω is a conformal Killing-Yano (CKY) tensor in n dimensions if its co-variant derivative is related to the exterior derivative d and coderivative d^* by the equation

$$\nabla_X \omega = \frac{1}{p+1} X \lrcorner d\omega - \frac{1}{n-p+1} X^\flat \wedge d^* \omega. \quad (1)$$

Here $X^\flat$ denotes the 1-form obtained by ‘lowering’ the components of the vector field X with the metric tensor g . (For the case of a 2-form in four dimensions we explicitly showed in [9] the correspondence between this exterior equation and that originally given by Tachibana.) Since the coderivative is related to the exterior derivative and the Hodge dual by

$$d^* \omega = (-1)^p *^{-1} d * \omega = (-1)^{n-p-1} * d *^{-1} \omega, \quad (2)$$

it follows that the CKY equation (1) is invariant under Hodge duality; that is, if ω satisfies the equation then so does $*\omega$. The equation is also covariant under conformal rescalings. If ω is a CKY tensor on a manifold with metric tensor g , then $\hat{\omega}$ is a CKY tensor with the conformally scaled metric $\hat{g}$ where the conformal weights are related by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g} &= e^{2\lambda} g \\ \hat{\omega} &= e^{(p+1)\lambda} \omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In the case in which there is a conformal Killing vector k then the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_k$ gives a symmetry operator of the CKY equation. If ω is a CKY p -form then so is

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{L}_k \omega - (p+1)\lambda \omega \\ \text{where } &\mathcal{L}_k g = 2\lambda g. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By differentiating (1) we obtain an expression for the curvature operator $R(X, Y)$ acting on ω . If we then note that the exterior derivative and coderivative can be expressed in terms of the (pseudo) Riemannian connection as

$$\begin{aligned} d &= e^a \wedge \nabla_{X_a} \\ d^* &= -X^a \lrcorner \nabla_{X_a}, \end{aligned}$$

then we obtain the integrability condition

$$\frac{p}{p+1}d^*d\omega + \frac{(n-p)}{(n-p+1)}dd^*\omega = e^b \wedge X^a \lrcorner R(X_a, X_b)\omega. \quad (5)$$

Spinor fields carry irreducible representations of the Clifford algebra, elements of which can be identified with differential forms. We denote this Clifford action by juxtaposing symbols. Thus for example we write the Dirac operator D as

$$D = e^a \nabla_{X_a}.$$

The juxtaposing of two differential forms will denote their Clifford product. Out of a CKY tensor ω we construct an operator on spinor fields:

$$L_\omega = e^a \omega \nabla_{X_a} + \frac{p}{p+1}d\omega - \frac{(n-p)}{(n-p+1)}d^*\omega. \quad (6)$$

To see that we have a symmetry operator we compose L_ω with the Dirac operator which we bring through from left to right. The integrability condition (5) can be used to write the terms containing second derivatives of ω in terms of the curvature operator, whilst the second-order derivative operator can be written in terms of the square of the Dirac operator, related to the spinor Laplacian by

$$D^2 = \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{ab}R(X_a, X_b),$$

where we write e^{ab} as a shorthand for $e^a \wedge e^b$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} DL_\omega &= \omega D^2 + \frac{(-1)^p}{p+1}d\omega D + \frac{(-1)^p}{n-p+1}d^*\omega D \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[e^{ab}, \omega]R(X_a, X_b) + e^b \wedge X^a \lrcorner R(X_b, X_a)\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now we may expand the curvature operator terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{ab}, \omega]R(X_a, X_b)\psi &= e^{ab}\omega R(X_a, X_b)\psi - \omega e^{ab}R(X_a, X_b)\psi \\ &= e^{ab}R(X_a, X_b)(\omega\psi) - e^{ab}R(X_a, X_b)\omega\psi - \omega e^{ab}R(X_a, X_b)\psi. \end{aligned}$$

The spinor curvature operator is given in terms of the curvature 2-forms by

$$R(X_a, X_b)\psi = \frac{1}{2}R_{ab}\psi$$

and so

$$e^{ab}R(X_a, X_b)\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}\psi$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the curvature scalar. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{ab}, \omega] R(X_a, X_b) \psi &= -e^{ab} R(X_a, X_b) \omega \psi \\ &= 2e^a \wedge X^b \lrcorner R(X_a, X_b) \omega \psi \end{aligned}$$

and so the curvature terms in (7) cancel. Thus letting both sides of (7) operate on a solution of the massless Dirac equation shows that L_ω is a symmetry operator.

As we previously noted, the CKY equation is duality invariant. To relate the symmetry operators obtained from ω and its dual $*\omega$ we use the relation between the Hodge dual and Clifford multiplication by the volume n -form z ,

$$*\omega = (-1)^{[p/2]} \omega z$$

where the square brackets denote the integer part [10]. If we then use (2) we see that

$$L_{*\omega} = (-1)^{[p/2]} L_\omega z. \quad (8)$$

Solutions to the massless Dirac equation decompose into semi-spinors that are eigenvectors under multiplication by z . Thus L_ω and $L_{*\omega}$ only differ by a scaling when acting on these semi-spinors.

Obviously any operator proportional to the Dirac operator is a trivial symmetry operator. By adding such a term to L_ω we obtain a symmetry operator whose commutator with the Dirac operator vanishes when ω satisfies the stronger requirement of being a Killing-Yano tensor. If we define K_ω by

$$K_\omega = L_\omega - (-1)^p \omega D \quad (9)$$

then we have

$$\{D, K_\omega\} = \frac{2(-1)^p}{(n-p+1)} d^* \omega D \quad (10)$$

where $\{D, K_\omega\}$ is the graded commutator,

$$\{D, K_\omega\} = DK_\omega + (-1)^p K_\omega D.$$

The right-hand side of (10) vanishes when $d^* \omega = 0$, which is just the condition that ω be a Killing-Yano tensor. In the case in which ω is a 1-form it is the dual of a conformal Killing vector, $\omega = k^\flat$. Then if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k g &= 2\lambda g, \\ d^* k^\flat &= -n\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_{k^b} = 2 \left(\mathcal{L}_k + \frac{(n-1)}{2} \lambda \right)$$

where the Lie derivative on spinors is defined by [10]

$$\mathcal{L}_k = \nabla_k + \frac{1}{4} dk^b.$$

In even dimensions the volume form z anti-commutes with the Dirac operator. Thus when ω is odd (even) K_ω preserves (interchanges) the semi-spinor spaces; that is, the eigenspaces of z . When ω is even then the anti-commutator in (10) can be changed to a commutator by replacing K_ω with $K_\omega z$. Thus in even dimensions any Killing-Yano tensor gives an operator that commutes with the Dirac operator, and hence is a symmetry operator of the massive Dirac equation.

In odd dimensions the volume form commutes with everything. Thus when ω is even we cannot change the anti-commutator in (10) to a commutator as we did in the even-dimensional case. Since in odd dimensions the dual of an even co-closed form is an odd closed one, one might try changing K_ω in such a way that the coderivative in (10) is replaced with the exterior derivative. That is, we may define K'_ω by

$$K'_\omega = L_\omega + (-1)^p \omega D \quad (11)$$

such that we have

$$DK'_\omega - (-1)^p K'_\omega D = \frac{2(-1)^p}{p+1} d\omega D. \quad (12)$$

However, in modifying K_ω such that the coderivative in (10) is replaced with the derivative, the sign in the graded commutator is also changed. Thus for K'_ω to commute with the Dirac operator ω must be even and closed: that is, its dual is odd and coclosed. So K'_ω offers nothing new. To have a symmetry operator of the massive Dirac equation we need an odd coclosed CKY tensor, a Killing-Yano tensor, or an even closed one.

In the four-dimensional Lorentzian case the symmetry operator K_ω is that given by Kamran and McLenaghan. We wrote it in this form in [9] where we showed the relation to ‘Debye potentials’ for the Dirac equation. The operator K_ω is said to be R -commuting (or anti-commuting) as the (graded) commutator with the Dirac operator is of the form $\{K_\omega, D\} = RD$. Kamran and McLenaghan [6] obtained (in four dimensions) the most general first-order R -commuting operator for the Dirac operator. They showed that the non-trivial terms were made out of a conformal Killing vector and a CKY tensor; that is, CKY tensors of all possible degrees. McLenaghan and Spindel [11] had previously expressed the most general commuting operator in terms of Killing-Yano tensors. We have here shown that, in the general case, CKY tensors of arbitrary degree give operators that R -commute

with the Dirac operator. It would be interesting to know whether, in the general case, all R -commuting operators are obtained in this way from CKY tensors.

Conformal Killing-Yano tensors also give rise to symmetry operators for Maxwell's equations. In four dimensions Kalnins, McLenaghan and Williams [12] have obtained the most general second-order symmetry operator for the source-free Maxwell system. Their operator contains a term involving a 4-index Killing spinor. Such a term can be constructed from a CKY tensor. It is not clear how in higher dimensions one might use a CKY tensor to construct a symmetry operator for Maxwell's equations. Preliminary work suggests that one can obtain a symmetry operator from a CKY middle-form for the middle-form generalisation of Maxwell's equations. This is to be contrasted with the generality of the result for the Dirac operator.

Since Maxwell's equations formally resemble the massless Dirac equations it is perhaps worth analysing why we cannot obtain a symmetry operator for the Maxwell system as we did in the Dirac case. We may write the Hodge de Rham operator $\mathcal{D}$ as a 'Dirac operator'[10],

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv d - d^* = e^a \nabla_{X_a} ,$$

where now the Clifford action on a p -form is defined by

$$e^a \phi = e^a \wedge \phi + X^a \lrcorner \phi .$$

We may then define L_ω to act on differential forms. (It will not however map a p -form to a form of homogeneous degree.) However, we do not have a symmetry operator since the curvature terms no longer cancel when the Dirac operator is replaced with the Hodge de Rham operator. In fact one has only to add a $U(1)$ charge to the spinor connection to see how the extra curvature terms prevent L_ω from being a symmetry operator in that case.

Acknowledgments. We thank Jonathan Kress for helpful discussions on symmetry operators for Maxwell's equations. This work was supported by the Newcastle University Research Management Committee.

References

- [1] Kentaro Yano. Some remarks on tensor fields and curvature. *Annals of Mathematics*, **55**(2):328–347, 1952.

- [2] Shun ichi Tachibana. On conformal Killing tensor in a Riemannian space. *Tôhoku Mathematical Journal*, **21**:56–64, 1969.
- [3] Toyoko Kashiwada. Natural Science Report, Ochanomizu University, **19**(2):67–74, 1968.
- [4] Shun ichi Tachibana and Toyoko Kashiwada. On the integrability of Killing-Yano’s equation. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **21**(2):259–265, 1969.
- [5] G S Hall. Killing-Yano tensors in general relativity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **26**(1):71–81, 1987.
- [6] N Kamran and R G McLenaghan. Symmetry operators for the neutrino and Dirac fields on curved space-time. *Physical Review D*, **30**(2):357–362, 1984.
- [7] B Carter and R G McLenaghan. Generalised total angular momentum operator for the Dirac equation in curved space-time. *Physical Review D*, **19**(4):1093–1097, 1979.
- [8] G F Torres del Castillo. Killing spinors and massless spinor fields. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, **400**:119–126, 1985.
- [9] I M Benn, Philip Charlton and Jonathan Kress. ‘Debye Potentials for Maxwell and Dirac Fields From a Generalisation of the Killing-Yano Equations’. Preprint, 1996 (gr-qc/9610037).
- [10] I M Benn and R W Tucker. *An Introduction to Spinors and Geometry with Applications in Physics*. IOP Publishing Ltd, Bristol, 1987.
- [11] R G McLenaghan and Ph Spindel. Quantum numbers for Dirac spinor fields on a curved space-time. *Physical Review D*, **20**(2):409–413, 1979.
- [12] E G Kalnins, R G McLenaghan and G C Williams. Symmetry operators for Maxwell’s equations on curved space-time. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, **439**:103–113, 1992.